arXiv:cond-mat/9908309v1 [cond-mat.dis-nn] 22 Aug 1999

Simplified dynamics for glass models.

D.B. Saakian
Yerevan Physics Institute, Alikhanian Brothers St. 2, Yerevan 375036, Armenia
Universidad de La Frontera, Departamento de Cienas Fisicas, Casilla 54-D, Temuco, Chile.

In spin glass models one can remove minimization of free energy by some order parameter. One can consider hierarchy of order parameters. It is possible to divide energy among these parts. We can consider relaxation process in glass system phenomonologically, as exchange of energy between 2 parts. It is possible to identify trap points in phase space. We suggest some phenomonological approximation-truncated Langevine. The mean field statics is used to introduce a phenomenologic dynamics as its natural extension. Purely kinetical phase transitions are investigated..

One of the most striking features of nature is hierachy. They are a lot- elementary particles, nucleai, atoms, kinetics, hydrodynamics... Such hierchial structure is common for complex systems. These hierachial levels are almost independent. It is interesting to consider a simple toy model and investigate interconnection of thermodynamics at subsequent levels. Such objects are glasses and spin glasses [1,2]. Here the hierarchy of degrees of freedom and even hierarchy of temperatures arise naturally [3]. Let us consider the model of p-spin spherical glass [4], when couplings are also dynamical with different temperature. This has been considered in [5] for the partial anealing phenomena [6]. What we modify, couplings do not have any own hamiltonian. The gaussian integration for them we consider as an integration measure only (otherwise they could have to much entropy, noncomparable with entropy of spin s):

$$D(J)=\sqrt{\frac{2M}{N\pi}}\exp\left(-\sum_{i_1..i_p}\frac{2M}{N}j^2_{i_1..i_p}\right)\prod_{i_1..i_p}dj_{i_1..i_p} \quad (1)$$

Here $M\equiv C_N^p=N!/p!(N-p)!$ is a total number of couplings. We can define then some partition

$$Z=\int D(J)\left[\mathrm{Tr}_{s_i}\exp\left(-\sum_{i_1..i_p}j_{i_1..i_p}s_{i_1}..s_{i_p}\right)\right]^n \quad (2)$$

According to [5],

$$n=\frac{\beta_j}{\beta} \quad (3)$$

where inverse temperature β_j,β correspond to spins and couplings. Then it is possible to derive [7]

$$-E_t=\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta}\ln Z(n,\beta),\quad -E_j=\frac{\partial}{\partial n}T\ln Z(n,\beta) \quad (4)$$

Here E_t is a total energy, E_j is connected with the slow motion of couplings. We assume, that spins are attached to thermostat with one temperature, couplings-to other. One usually considers heat exchange between seperate objects. In our case we seperate slow and fast variables and our goal should be calculation of heat current between them. We consider such simplified version of relaxation process: phenomonological heat exchange between fast and slow variables. Even on such level without any specification of dynamics one can found something important for the dynamics. When we isolate our system from thermostats, we ascribe to our system at any moment of time 2 temperatures (main assumption). So our system evolves along the line

$$E_t=const,\quad dE_t(\beta,n)\equiv\frac{dE_t}{d\beta}d\beta+\frac{dE_t}{dn}dn=0 \quad (5)$$

If there is a point, where we have also

$$dE_j(\beta,n)\equiv\frac{dE_j}{d\beta}d\beta+\frac{dE_j}{dn}dn=0 \quad (6)$$

then system could get trapped in such point (glassy phenomena). For such points we have an equation

$$\frac{dE_t}{d\beta}\frac{dE_j}{dn}-\frac{dE_j}{d\beta}\frac{dE_n}{dn}=0 \quad (7)$$

Let us now specify some simplified dynamics. The main point is to define heat currenet between spins and couplings at given temperatures. Having its expression we can calculate everything assuming a picture, where couplings interact only with spins, while spins could interact with external fields or thermostats. Let us postulate some simplified dynamics with a single parameter (eefective temperature β_0). Actually we see, that dynamic equations for any thermodynamic variable is maximal simple. For any function $g(\{j_k\})$ and its average G,

$$G(\beta,n)=\langle g(\beta,n)\rangle \quad (8)$$

we can write an equation:

$$\frac{dG}{dt}=\langle\sum_k(g''_{j_kj_k}(n,\beta,j)+g'_{j_k}(n,\beta,j)f'_{j_k}(1,\beta_0,j))\rangle \quad (9)$$

where the average is taken by the distribution $D(j)Z^n(j,\beta)$ Here $f(n,\beta)\equiv Z(\beta,j)$ is a free energy of our partial anealing model. Let us put a constraint, that total energy is not changed.

$$-\frac{dE_t}{dt}=\langle\sum_k(f'''_{\beta j_k j_k}(n,\beta,j)+f''_{\beta j_k}(n,\beta,j)f'_{j_k}(1,\beta_0,j))\rangle \tag{10}$$

This is a simplest differential equation, that we can write. The wright part disappears,at $n=1$, when $\beta=\beta_0$. So the choice of first term in (10) defines the form of second term. Solving this equation we can find this effective temperature β_0 as a function of (n,β), then calculate evolution of E_j:

$$-\frac{d}{dt}E_j=T\langle\sum_k(f'''_{nj_k j_k}(n,\beta,j)+f''_{nj_k}(n,\beta,j)f'_{j_k}(1,\beta_0,j))\rangle \tag{11}$$

Here both terms are the same order.

For the free energy of $p=2$ model we have replica symmetry solution [4]

$$f=n\frac{1+\ln 2\pi}{2}+\frac{\beta^2}{4}[(n^2-n)q^2+n]$$
$$+\frac{n\ln(1-q)}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\ln[1+\frac{nq}{1-q}] \tag{12}$$

There is one solution $q_1=0$. The equation for two other solutions:

$$(1-n)q^2+(n-2)q+1-1/\beta^2=0 \tag{13}$$

$$q_{2,3}=\frac{(2-n)\pm\sqrt{(n-2)^2-4(1-n)(1-\beta^2)}}{2(1-n)} \tag{14}$$

At low temperatures our system is in the PM phase with $q=0$. While frozen it could be transformed into SG phase. For the case $n<2$ the transition point is $\beta_c=1$ and we take the solution $q=q_2$. For $n>2$ the critical value of β is changed. Having the values of q we can define

$$\frac{dq}{d\beta}=-\frac{2}{\beta^3[(1-n)2q-2+n]},\frac{dq}{dn}=\frac{q^2-q}{[(1-n)2q-2+n]}$$
$$\frac{df}{d\beta}=\frac{\beta}{2}[(n^2-n)q^2+n]+[(n^2-n)/2\beta^2q+\frac{(1-n)}{2(1-q)}$$
$$-\frac{1-n}{2(1-(1-n)q}))]q'_\beta$$
$$\frac{d^2f}{dnd\beta}=\frac{\beta}{2}[(2n-1)q^2+1]+[(n^2-n)/2\beta^2+\frac{(1-n)}{2(1-q)^2}$$
$$-\frac{(1-n)^2}{2(1-(1-n)q)^2}]q'_\beta q'_n+[(2n-1)/2\beta^2pq-\frac{1}{2(1-q)}$$
$$+\frac{1}{2(1-(1-n)q)^2}]q'_\beta+\beta(n^2-n)qq'_n$$
$$\frac{d^2f}{d\beta^2}=\frac{1}{2}[(n^2-n)q^p+n]+[(n^2-n)/2\beta^2+\frac{(1-n)}{2(1-q)^2}$$
$$-\frac{(1-n)^2}{2(1-(1-n)q)^2}](q'_\beta)^2+2\beta(n^2-n)qq'_\beta \tag{15}$$

We have for energies

$$-E_t(q,n,\beta)=\frac{\beta}{2}[(n-1)q^2+1] \tag{16}$$

$$-E_j(q,n,\beta)=\frac{\ln(1+\ln 2\pi)}{2\beta}$$

$$+[(2n-1)q^2+1]\frac{\beta}{4}+\frac{\ln(1-q)}{2\beta}+\frac{q}{2\beta(1-q+nq)}$$

The trap point could be defined nullifying the determinant of the linear system:

$$[(n-1)q^2+1]d\beta+\beta q^2dn+\beta(n-1)2qdq=0 \tag{17}$$

$$\{-[\ln(1+\ln 2\pi)+\frac{q}{(1-q+nq)}+\ln(1-q)]\frac{1}{\beta^2}$$

$$+\frac{[(2n-1)q^2+1]}{2}\}d\beta+[\beta q^2-\frac{q^2}{\beta(1-q+nq)^2}]dn$$

$$+\{(2n-1)\beta q-\frac{1}{\beta(1-q)}+\frac{1}{(1-q+nq)^2\beta}\}dq=0$$

$$2d\beta/\beta^3-(q^2-q)dn+[2q(1-n)+n-2]dq=0$$

To calculate (11) we need to consider the model with $m+n$ replicas, when $m\to 0$. in $s=<s_\alpha s_\beta>,1\le\alpha\le n,1\le m,m\to 0$ and $r=<s_\gamma s_\beta>,1\le\gamma\le m$ We derive

$$\beta(1-q)=\beta_0(1-r) \tag{18}$$

$$s^2=q[1-\frac{\beta(1-q)}{\beta_0}] \tag{19}$$

$$\frac{ds}{dn}=\frac{1}{2s}[1-\frac{\beta}{\beta_0}+\frac{2\beta q}{\beta_0}]\frac{dq}{dn} \tag{20}$$

$$\frac{ds}{d\beta}=\frac{1}{2s}[1-\frac{\beta}{\beta_0}+\frac{2\beta q}{\beta_0}]\frac{dq}{d\beta}-\frac{q(1-q)}{\beta_0}$$

The set of equations (24-27) describe one mode of solution. For the small values of β_0, when $\beta_0<\beta(1-q)$ works another solution

$$r=0,s=0 \tag{21}$$

Let us consider the dynamics. We should consider the dynamics with the effective hamiltonian

$$h+f\equiv-\sum_{i_1..i_p}2M/(N)j^2_{i_1..i_p}+f(j,\beta) \tag{22}$$

using the (9),(10) we derive To be short we will use

$$j_k = j_{i_1..i_p}$$

$$-dE_t/dt = \sum_k f'''_{\beta j_k j_k}(j,\beta) + f''_{\beta j_k}(j,\beta)(h'_{j_k} + f'_{j_k}(\beta_0)) \tag{23}$$

$$-\beta dE_j/dt = 1/\beta\{\sum_k f'''_{nj_k j_k}(j,\beta) \tag{24}$$

$$+f''_{nj_k}(j,\beta)(h'_{j_k} + f'_{j_k}(\beta_0))\}$$

To be short we will use $j_k = j_{i_1..i_p}$

$$-dE_t/dt = [2\beta(1-q^2) - 2\beta^2 q^p \frac{dq}{d\beta} + \beta_0 s^p + \tag{25}$$

$$2\beta\beta_0 s^p \frac{ds}{d\beta}]M - 4M/N[\beta f''_{\beta\beta} + f'_\beta] = 0$$

Then we can caculate heat current

$$-\beta dE_j/dt = [-\beta^2 p q^{p-1}\frac{dq}{dn} + \tag{26}$$

$$\beta\beta_0 p s^{p-1}\frac{ds}{dn}]M - 4M/N\beta f''_{\beta n}$$

Having this expression one can define different relaxation processes.

So in our system we have three temperatures- two for statics, one for dynamics. It is very interesting the situation, when the statics corresponds to SG phase, the dynamics- to PM. A rich physics is possible.

It is possible to construct similiar dynamics for SG models in external magnetic field. Here the role of J_k can play partial populations with different magnetization. Instead of β_j one can consider the total magnetization M. It takes its equilib rium value only after long relaxation process. Morever, one can remove the saddle point condition by some order parameter and consider it as free parameter. One can consider free energy as an energy for such (nonthermalized) order parameter. Again it is possible to construct effective energy for its relaxation dynamics and some effective simplified dynamics. So mean field approach allows besides statics to introduce some phenomonologic dynamics as a natural extension. If we have for a partition a representation

$$Z = \int dm Z(m,\beta) = \int dm \exp \ln Z(m,\beta) \tag{27}$$

We can connect with order parameter m an effective hamiltonian (and energy)

$$-\ln Z(m,\beta)/\beta \tag{28}$$

For a total energy of system we have

$$-E_t(m,\beta) = \frac{d}{d\beta}\ln Z(m,\beta) \tag{29}$$

Again it is possible to construct some effective simplified dynamics. It is possible to repeat this procedure of thermalization several times, assuming the hierarchy of relaxation periods.

So mean field statics allows besides statics to introduce some phenomenologic dynamics as a natural extension. There is a nice chance of phase transition in kinetics. It will be interesting to consider protein folding under such point of view. Another possible generalization-application in collision integrals of kinetic theory. Really we wrote (9), assuming only the smootness of distribution.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Fundacion Andes grant c-13413/1 for financial support,A.E. Allahverdyan,A.Crisanti, Th. Niewenhuizen. I thank L. Peliti for warm hospitality in Napoly and very fruitful discussions.

[1] M. Mezard,G. Parisi,M.A. Virasoro,Spin-glass Theory and Beyond,World Scientific,Singapore,1986.
[2] K.Binder,A.P. Young, R.M.P. **58** (1986),801
[3] Th. Nieuwenhuisen Phys. Rev. Let. **79** (1997),1317
[4] A. Crisanti,H.J. Sommers, Z. Phys. **87B**,341
[5] V. Dotsenko,M. Mezard,S. Franz J. Phys. A**27** (1994) 2351
[6] A.C.C. Coolen,R.W. Penney,D. Sherrington J. Phys. A**26** (1993) 3681
[7] A.E. Allahverdyan,T.Nieuwenhuizen,D.B. Saakian (1999)